

Day 10. Final Test

1. RC – () 분 뭐 한다고?
2. RC Note-Taking
RC에서 주로 다루는 topics? ()
 - 1) ()
 - 2) ()
 - 3) ()
 - 4) ()
 - 5) ()
3. RC 유형과 각각의 본론 주제문을 찾았다면? 다음은 뭐?
4. 재진술 동사?
5. LC Note-Taking
6. 통합형(LC) 유형? 몇 가지?
 - 1) ()
 - 2) ()
 - 3) ()
 - 4) () – ()
7. template !!!

Reading Passage

Sometimes, new species result in several negative consequences, so the government is considering a law to restrict importing, buying, and selling of non-native species of animals. However, this law should not be implemented. There are some reasons to oppose the law.


First of all, the law can be used to force pet owners to give up ownership of their pets. This law can have immediate effects on pet owners who currently have non-native species animals. The law to prevent the introduction of any non-native animals is of great concern to pet owners because they have to worry about their animal.

Second, implementing the law is expensive because

Note-Taking

conducting studies to find out whether non-native species cause damage when they are released to the wild requires a lot of money. A variety of new animals, ranging from a marine species, such as the zebra mussel, to pets, have been introduced or transported to the US intentionally or accidentally, and it costs a lot to investigate the consequences of their release to the wild.

Another reason to oppose this law is that the law is not reasonable because it applies a single standard to the entire country. For example, Brazilian rabbits cannot survive long in northern parts of the US simply because the regions are too cold for them to survive. Therefore, it is unreasonable to apply the law to the entire US.



Listening Passage




Listening Script

The reading and the lecture both talk about the law to forbid the importation of non-native species. The reading says that there are three reasons why this law is bad. However, the lecturer argues that the reasons mentioned in the reading are not convincing.

First of all, the reading states that this law should not be implemented because it has negative effects on pet owners. On the contrary, the lecturer disagrees with

Note-Taking



this statement. He claims that pet owners who presently own non-native species have nothing to worry about. This is because the law can be applied to only additional imports and sales. For this reason, the lecturer comes to the conclusion that this law does not affect pet owners who have non-native animals now.

Next, in the reading, the author argues that this law is bad because it costs a lot. Although the lecturer admits that it costs a lot to do research on non-native species, he claims that the cost of doing such research is much lower than the cost of restoring damage that newly introduced species cause to native species. He takes a certain snake introduced to the States as an example. The snake has destroyed native species, so the cost of restoring the destruction is far greater than the cost of doing research on the snake.

Finally, the reading goes on to say that this law should not be implemented because it is not reasonable. For instance, although Brazilian rabbits cannot live in cold areas, this law will be applied everywhere in the States. However, the lecturer makes an opposing point to this claim. The lecturer's point is that this law is reasonable. According to the lecture, although the animals mentioned in the reading cannot inhabit a cold area, the animals can spread a deadly virus that can be transmitted to every region of the US. This means that the idea of applying the law everywhere is reasonable.